

ERRATA CORRIGE

Case No 1788 – CT2313/2021 – Re-Issue Tender for the Management, Operations and Upkeep of Zammit Clapp residential Home including Environmentally Friendly Materials – Active Ageing and Community Care within the Ministry for Senior Citizens and Active Ageing.

PCRB Decision dated 27th September, 2022.

On page 4 of the Minutes of the above Case the paragraph immediately following the sentence ‘This concludes the testimonies’ should now read:

Dr Paris said one had to examine the purpose of the tender and the way it was interpreted. Ms Cauchi in her testimony stated that the EC requested clarification of certain points. These included the request of the EC of 24th November, 2022 to the Director of Contracts, who on the 29th November replied solely regarding the tender document without any reference to the clarification and also to the discussions held with the Permanent Secretary at the evaluation stage. This in itself was enough to convince the Board how to decide the Appeal without even referring to the complete tender and he invited them to seek a re-evaluation. Unfortunately half stories lead to half replies and it is clear that Mr Mizzi in his reply indicated that he did not even understand the question. Dr Aquilina indicated that what the tender required was clear; namely some experience in all three years – something the preferred bidder did not meet. Experience was mandatory in all three years due to the type of client one is dealing with. The preferred bidder had the possibility of joining up with a third party to fulfil the tender requirements – this was not done and the registration and the licence both indicate that the tender requisite was not met.

Mr Kenneth Swain
Chairman

Dr Vincent Micallef
Member

Ms Stephanie Scicluna Laiviera
Member

4th October, 2022